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25 motion to adopt these minutes.

MR. ELDRIDGE: I'll second that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor?
(MULTIPLE AYES.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed?
(NO RESPONSE.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.
So move that the -- those have been adopted.

Next is a -- is a hearing.
It's an appeal, Adkins appeal hearing --
MR. ELDRIDGE: No, sir.
MR. BARTLEY: That's last week.
MR. ELDRIDGE: You might be
reading from the minutes.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry.
MR. ELDRIDGE: This is a
quasi-judicial hearing for --
MR. BARTLEY: It's on page --
MR. ELDRIDGE: -- Thomas -- how
do you say your last name?
MR. McGEOUGH: It's McGeough .
MR. ELDRIDGE: McGeough.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Application for
a variance.

1

11 variance hearing of November 22nd. I'm 12 going to go ahead and open the hearing. Kure Beach Code of Ordinance, Hearing

15 KBC 15.08.080.D on the application for a 16 variance submitted by Thomas McGeough, 17 applicant, for the residential property 18 located at 213 Fort Fischer Boulevard

25 the factual determination, Board members

1 may only consider competent material and 2 substantial evidence from parties 3 withstanding.

15 following:

23 from personal circumstances, as well as
24 hardships resulting from conditions that 25 are common to the neighborhood or to the

1 general public, may not be a basis for
2 granting a variance.

11 consistent with the spirit, purpose, and 12 intent of the zoning regulation, such as

13 public safety is secured and substantial
14 justice is achieved.

17 the applicant in accordance with the

22 appearing in an advisory capacity to the Board and not as an advocate for any 24 party.

At this time, I would like to
The Board's decision will be set forth in writing and delivered to provisions of Kure Beach Code 12.06.050.J.

For the purpose of this
hearing, the town attorney will be

1 poll each member of the Board. Each
2 member shall state whether a conflict of
3 interest exists due to direct financial
4 benefit, bias, or fixed opinion,
5 undisclosed outside contract -- contact,
6 or a close family or commercial tie.
7 Should such a conflict exist, the Board
8 member shall recuse him or herself from
9 the hearing and the Board shall excuse
10 the member from voting on the matter.
11
So is there any conflict of
12 interest up here from the Board?

13
14
15
16
17 none, either.

Okay. At this point in time, $I$ guess I need to administer the oath to all people that are testifying.

MR. ELDRIDGE: Yeah.
THE CHAIRPERSON: So who are
testifying? Everybody who's testifying.
Just --
MS. SANDERS: It's us three. You just have to come give us the oath. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

1 Okay.

5 hands --

6

7

8

10
11 whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
12 so help me God.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

25 truth --

MS . SANDERS: I swear --
MS . WHITE: I swear --
MR. McGEOUGH: I swear --
MS. SANDERS: -- to tell the

```
truth --
```

MS. WHITE: -- to tell the

```
truth --
```

MR. McGEOUGH: -- to tell the

```
                        truth --
```

MS. SANDERS: -- the whole

```
truth --
```

MS. WHITE: -- the whole

1

13 God.
14
15 you.
16
17
18

$$
19
$$ and identify Exhibits 1 through 5,

24 each --
MR. McGEOUGH: -- the whole
truth --
MS. SANDERS: -- and nothing
but the truth --
MS. WHITE: -- and nothing but
the truth --
MR. McGEOUGH: -- and nothing
but the truth --
MS. SANDERS: -- so help me
God.
MS. WHITE: -- so help me God.
MR. McGEOUGH: -- so help me
4 you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank

Okay. I guess the first person
up would be Ms. Mandy.
MS. SANDERS: I'm going to
tender Exhibits 1 through 5. And we do have a court reporter that is transcribing the meeting today.

MR. ELDRIDGE: Can you go ahead

MS. SANDERS: Yes. I will --

1 Yes.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Okay.
MS. SANDERS: Number 1 is the variance application. Exhibit 2 is 5 certification of mailed notice with a 6 copy of the notice attached thereto.

7 Exhibit 3 is certification of posted 8 notice with copy of notice or federal 9 posted notice attached. Exhibit 4 is 10 publisher's affidavit. Exhibit 5, copy

11 of the email to members and applicant
12 covering the transmittal of the agenda
13 docs. And I'm bringing that now.
14
15

17 Thomas.

18
19
20
21 MR. ELDRIDGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: And now I guess we'll hear from the applicant.

MR. McGEOUGH: Where do you want me to -- right here?

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's fine.
MR. McGEOUGH: I'll just stand here, if that's all right.
(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
MR. McGEOUGH: Thank you all
for your time. I -- Actually, we

1 already constructed a -- just a -- a
2 roof above the deck. We didn't realize
3 it was any issue. So this is an
4 application for a variance to be able to
5 keep that roof.

6
7 deck at the back unit on our property.
8 A -- A roof was the only way to shield
9 the deck and the entrance to that
10 cottage from the elements, you know,
11 rain -- it rains on -- potentially.
12 The roof wasn't constructed outside of
13 the original footprint of that deck, so
14 it's not expanding a nonconforming
15 building. It is just above the -- the
16 raised deck that was already existing
17 there.
18
19
20 construction of the entrance to this
21 cottage on our property at the back of
22 the property, which is within the
23 setback. So I'm hoping to just keep
24 this roof that we built above this
25 raised deck.

1

17 amendment for it, which I think -- found was probably not the appropriate way to go about trying to keep the roof, and it's been sort of a long -- I've had a lot of assistance with -- with Beth through this. But, yeah, it was -- it was last spring that it was constructed. MR. BASS: Did you get a building permit for this roof?

1

2

11 applicant has to prove four things.
12

17 him questions about those four things so 18 that we can get on the record the 19 showing that's made in support of those.

25 ability to protect our -- you know, the did not think that the -- there was any necessity to do so, which I now think that there -- there may have been, so I apologize. I wasn't trying to go around any -- any code, just didn't think it was necessary at the time.

MR. ELDRIDGE: Chairman, as you mentioned in your order of business, to be entitled to the variance, the

THE CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.
MR. ELDRIDGE: And I'm not sure we've gone through those four things

And the Board may want to ask MR. NALEAU: So I'll start. Do you believe that this would create an unnecessary hardship?

MR. McGEOUGH: I do. I -- I believe that it -- it restricts my

Page 14

1 deck entrance from the elements, and I
2 believe that that is -- as a property
3 owner, I'm entitled to the ability to do
4 that. So I believe that that entails an
5 unnecessary hardship.

MR. McGEOUGH: Yeah. So
there's three little cottages on the property. That's -- That's cottage A. MR. BARTLEY: Oh, okay.

MR. McGEOUGH: It's at the
back. There's a -- a --
MR. BARTLEY: This is just road

MR. McGEOUGH: That's from the

MR. BASS: So you say your

1 hardship is not being able to provide 2 protection for the deck.

5 no other way to provide protection for
6 the deck that would meet these
7 ordinances?
8
9
10 so. I believe that this is the most
11 effective and efficient way.
12
13
14
15 to constructing this roof, so now I'm
16 exploring trying to keep it, but, you
17 know, I -- I have looked into it.
18 Basically the alternative would be like
19 a retractable awning, which I -- is --
20 is a fair suggestion, but $I$ don't
21 believe it -- it gives as -- as -- as
22 well -- as adequate protection. And I
23 hope I can keep the roof.
MR. BASS: Have you explored those avenues?

MR. McGEOUGH: I hadn't prior MR. BASS: Thank you. MR. McGEOUGH: Thank you.

1

2 already -turn to testify. ever permitted?

MR. NALEAU: Do you know how long the property has been that way, along that deck or porch has been

MR. McGEOUGH: It's in the tax code. I -- I know that at least the last ten years. I'm not sure when it was constructed. So -- But in like the property record, the deck is on there as -- as having been there for a substantial amount of time.

MR. BARTLEY: So, Beth, is the -- is the deck permitted, or is it --

MR. ELDRIDGE: It's not Beth's

MR. BASS: Oh, I'm sorry.
Do you know if the deck was

MR. MCGEOUGH: I believe it's
permitted. I can't state with certainty that it's permitted, but $I$ believe it to

MR. BASS: So the -- So the --
MR. MCNEELY: The --
MR. BASS: Go ahead.

15 the current roof is just -- I'm
16 repeating a little bit here.
MR. McNEELY: The deck was per
-- it was permitted in the setback?
MR. McGEOUGH: It's a very old unit. I -- I believe that it was, yeah. Prior to maybe the setback being ordinanced [sic].

MR. BASS: So the deck is currently inside the setback; is that right?

MR. McGEOUGH: Correct. Yeah.
The whole deck is inside the setback
effectively, and -- and the entrance to the unit is inside the setback.

MR. BASS: So the current --

MR. McGEOUGH: Sure.
MR. BASS: So the current roof is in the setback, the roof that you built?

MR. McGEOUGH: Yes. It is.
MR. BASS: And -- And you did construct the roof?

MR. McGEOUGH: Yes.
MR. MCNEELY: Are you a

1 licensed contractor? the prior owners and their -- their

```
    a fair burden for -- for me, so that --
```

    that would probably be --
    THE CHAIRPERSON: How is it a
        burden for you?
            MR. McGEOUGH: Just the inabi
            25 -- I've seen -- you know, the inability
    1 to adequately enter and exit. I believe
2 it's a burden, that getting rained on
3 and, you know, extreme elements are --
4 you know, unprotected from the -- the
5 access to the unit.

7 that this is something that's unique to
8 your particular property?

10 unique to the -- to the location of this
11 unit on the property.
12
And as I read the, you know,
13 past examples of -- not in Kure Beach
14 but other townships' variance
15 applications, I believe that that --
16 yes, I've seen the location of the
17 entrance and exit as a sufficient -- you
18 know, unique -- unique item that, you
19 know, is sufficient to show that.

21 the other houses along that strip there
22 have this -- have the same problem?

24 is a covered -- has -- has a covered
25 roof already over the deck and it's not

1 in the setback, so it's not an issue.

22 questions. property? more questions.

MR. McGEOUGH: -- with three units on it, yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I can't think of any other questions. I can't think of anything else to ask him.

MR. BASS: I have no other

THE CHAIRPERSON: I have no

MR. MCNEELY: I'm good.

| 1 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | MR. BARTLEY: John, got any |

3 questions?

18 Bethany White. I'm the Code Enforcement 19 Officer for Kure Beach. I would like to 20 first submit for the record Exhibits 6, 217 , and 8. those, please?

MS. WHITE: Exhibit 6 is a memo
25 from the building inspection staff.


1 units that are on one property. The
2 property itself is a standard 50-by-100
3 lot.
4

5

6
7
8
9
10 nonconforming to side and rear setbacks.
11 The addition of the porch roof creates
12 an extension into the required rear
13 setback and is a violation of 15.38 .020
14 of the KBC, continuous of a
15 nonconforming situation, extension into
16 the yard -- rear yard setback space.
In April of 2022, Mr. McGeough
18 did apply for a text amendment
19 application, which was not -- which was 20 not adopted by town council.

21
22
23 you.

25 deck was already there --

1

2

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Mr. McGeough --
11
12

13

14
15
16
17 December/January, we -- we just noticed
18 it had gone up and sent him the
19 violation.
20
21
22
23 24 impervious surface. They're very small

25 cottages --
and deck?
MS. WHITE: Yes, that's
correct. The porch was already there.
If you look at the GIS map that is from 2021 -- I think is our latest GIS map --
you can see the porch is there already without the roof covering on it, which
Mr.

MR. McGEOUGH: Yeah.
MS. WHITE: -- said he put on
in the spring, I guess, of last year, so that would make sense.

MR. McGEOUGH: Yeah.
MS. WHITE: So sometime in

MR. BASS: Does this roof push
him over the impervious surface?
MS. WHITE: No. I do not
believe he's even close to his

1

2

3
4 that -- I mean, I know it's been so long 11 that it was put there, but --

24 on file by the previous owner, and
25 that's all that we have on -- on our
MS. WHITE: Well, we have --
MR. BARTLEY: -- I mean, it may be a violation --

MS. WHITE: -- no record of a permit for the --

MR. BARTLEY: Yeah.
MS. WHITE: -- deck itself. It
is a -- It was a previous nonconforming deck, so we wouldn't have record. There has been renovations to units -- to, I believe, the middle and the front units, were renovated. We have those permits

1 files.

## 5 correct?

11 the code?
12

13
14
15
16
17 is -- is in the setback, so it is not up
18 to current code, but it is
19 nonconforming.
20
21
22
23
24
25
MR. ELDRIDGE: -- and the
witness are speaking the same language.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Is it within
code to allow him to have a --
MS. WHITE: The current porch
nonconforming

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. WHITE: The structure
itself is within the setback, as well, so I'm unaware of when the --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. WHITE: -- porch was

1 originally built, so 1 can't -- I don't
2 know if it was there when the cottage 3 was built or if it was added later on.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.
MS. WHITE: I do not know that.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MR. BASS: So -- So the porch
would be, $I$ guess in layman's terms, grandfathered in?

MS. WHITE: That's correct.
MR. BASS: Right.
MR. MCNEELY: We're looking at this property right here; correct?

MS. WHITE: That's correct.
Yep. I highlighted it in orange.
MR. McNEELY: So this is the
property line?
MS. WHITE: That's the rear
property line, yes.
MR. McNEELY: So the whole
structure itself, is it built within the setback?

MS. WHITE: That's correct.
It's -- It's --
MR. MCNEELY: It's in the

1 setback?

11 building inspector, John Datson, and I

12
13 do daily drive-arounds --

MR. NALEAU: You saw it.
MS. WHITE: -- looking for things, violations and --

MR. MCNEELY: So, in fact, he did not apply for a permit to build this structure?

MS. WHITE: He did not apply for a permit, that's correct.

MR. MCNEELY: Okay. So we don't even know if it is built properly and meets code, not being -- having a permit?

MS. WHITE: It has not been

1 inspected by our staff, no.

3 fair question for me to ask if the -- in
4 -- in Bethany's opinion, if this is a
5 unique arrangement, where you have a
6 porch like this and then entering
7 directly into a house?
8
9 to ask that question.
10
11 believe that this is a unique
12 arrangement, absent the -- absent the
13 roof -- the second item on the variance
14 is that the hardship results from
15 conditions that are peculiar to the 16 property.

18 to have a -- the deck or porch
19 arrangement the way it is where it
20 enters into the house, that somehow
21 that's different than other properties
22 you might see around Kure Beach and,
23 therefore, this one should have a roof
24 whereas others --
MS. WHITE: No. I believe we

1 have several properties that do not have
2 roofs over -- over a -- their decks,
3 front porches, or -- or what have you.
4 That we have a lot of nonconforming
5 properties that are similar to this and
6 have had similar issues with not being
7 able to extend because they are already 8 in the setbacks.

11 catch in the permit application process.

12
13
14
15
16 so I think it would be useful to testify
17 about what else you might have and
18

22 the current shed roof in violation, and
23 the shed roof being the -- just the --
24 the roof that comes off of the edge over 25 the porch. MR. NALEAU: I see. Thank You. MR. ELDRIDGE: Ms. White, have you walked through all your exhibits -again, this is going into the record, summarizing the contents thereof.

MS. WHITE: The memo, we went over. The -- I think everybody's seen the pictures. The first picture is of

So this is an issue that comes up a lot and is normally -- we would

1 The second picture is -- is
2 really just to show the nonconforming 3 structure that existed prior to that.

10 followed by the variance request before 11 you today.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So with the
13 text amendment, I'm assuming then that
14 the council did not approve?
15
16 adopt the text amendment. It went to
17 planning and zoning board first, which they actually had a split vote, two and two. So they did not have a recommendation to bring to council. Council did decide not to adopt the evidence -- or the -- the change.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I don't have any other questions. MR. BASS: I have no other

1 questions.

3 Anybody else have any questions for

15 thing that I would want to just touch
16 base on, you know, in -- in speaking
17 about the nonconformity of the existing 18 building, none of that is -- should -19 should be held against this deck. It's 20 -- It's a very old building. Nothing

21 was against the code when that was
22 constructed. The deck was may -- maybe
23 not permitted but was allowed by
24 whatever current standard they had. So
25 I just wanted to note that everything

1 that was going on with the building
2 should -- yeah, I mean --

3

4
5
6

10
11
12
13
14
15 to grant you?
16
17 it -- it's detailed out on my variance
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
MR. McGEOUGH: Can you expand
-- I don't understand.
MR. ELDRIDGE: What -- What do
you -- What relief are you seeking in particular? What do you want this Board

MR. McGEOUGH: I believe that application, but you --

MR. ELDRIDGE: Can you review
that, again?
MR. McGEOUGH: Yeah. Sure.
Sorry. Just give me one second.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Here you go.
You can borrow mine.
MR. McGEOUGH: Okay. I -- I --

1 I appreciate that. So effectively, I am
2 just -- I'm asking for variance from the 3 provisions of Code 15.38.020.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10 the -- the code sometimes prints out
11 subsections as alpha or in numerical,
12 but it's 15.38.020.B, I think, regarding
13 the -- is it fair to say that you want a
14 variance from that regulation so that
15 the roof you constructed can extend into
16 the setback area?
17
18
19

25 for the applicant or he has any other

1 points to make in his rebuttal, it would
2 be Ms. White's turn to present a
3 rebuttal, if she's so -- if she's so
4 inclined.

5

6

21 built?

23 I didn't build it myself. I think I --
24 I might have miscommunicated that 25 earlier. I had it built by -- by a

1 contractor.

11 that time.
12
13

16 Yeah. I -- And then he -- I probably
MR. NALEAU: Okay.
MR. McGEOUGH: Yes, sir. And I
-- I just -- because I'm the homeowner, it's my understanding that it was below the -- the realm of dollar amount of doing, you know, improvements to my -- I didn't believe that it entailed -required a permit for the -- the scope of business, or $I$ would have applied at

MR. MCNEELY: And your contractor didn't tell you you needed a permit?

MR. McGEOUGH: He did not. won't use him in the future. So --

MR. MCNEELY: I wouldn't think so.

MR. McGEOUGH: Yeah. No.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Any other questions?
(NO RESPONSE.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
MR. McGEOUGH: Thank you.

1

2

THE CHAIRPERSON: Would you
like to do any kind of rebuttal?
MS. WHITE: I have no rebuttal.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Okay. All
right, Chairman. Let's go over what we do next. We're going to keep the hearing open as you go through your findings of fact in case you have some additional questions for the parties.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MR. ELDRIDGE: And the first
thing we're going to do is summarize the evidence, and then we're going to walk through this decision worksheet that each of you have a copy of.

Does that sound okay to you?
MR. McGEOUGH: Yes, sir.
MR. ELDRIDGE: The -- So,
Chairman, it's your responsibility to go ahead and summarize the evidence. I think, outside of the procedural -outside of the exhibit showing the procedural compliance, you have the application of the applicant, and you also have a memo from building

1 inspections. You also have photos from
2 building inspections, illustrative
3 photos. And then, finally, you have the
4 string or chain of correspondence
5 between building inspections and the
6 applicant.
Does that sound like a fair
8 summary?

9
10
11 Turning to your decision worksheet,
12 let's look at number one for a minute.
13 What -- What we're -- recall that the
14 applicant has to prove those four things
15 to get a variance. And the decision
16 requires you all to make findings of
17 fact as to whether that showing was
18 made.
19
20 gets the variance. If the showing falls
21 short, he doesn't. So let's walk
22 through this.
The subject and breach finding
24 of fact, we have to identify the
25 evidence that supports that finding of

1 fact.
The subject property is or is
3 not located at 213 Fort Fisher Boulevard
4 North.

5

6 is.
7

8

9
10 subsections. We'll vote when it comes
11 time to the decision.
12
13 you. Go ahead, Chairman.
14
15 believe it is due to the fact that even
16 Ms. White's plat showed that it was 213
17 Fort Fisher Boulevard North. The
18 three --

19
20
21
22 there.
23
24 testimony. Is there anything else that 25 supports that finding?

MR. MCNEELY: The memo from the
building inspection staff that discusses the finding that 213 --

MR. ELDRIDGE: How about the
application itself?
THE CHAIRPERSON: The
application itself does, as well.
MR. BASS: And the testimony of
the -- of Mr. McGeough.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Applicant's
testimony and the application.
Number two, the applicant is or
is not the owner of the property?
MR. NALEAU: Mr. --
THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr.
McGeough --
MR. ELDRIDGE: And, again,
we're --
MR. NALEAU: -- did indicate
that he was the owner of the property in
his testimony.
MR. ELDRIDGE: So that was the
applicant's testimony.
Number three, the residential

1 structure on the property, not the roof,
2 but the residential structure on the
3 property is or is not nonconforming as
4 to yard and setback requirements?

25 page, number four, a roof covering that

1 was later added to the residential
2 structure did or did not extend into the
3 required yard setback area?

17 exact party that built it, I meant did 18 he arrange for that installation on his structure?

THE CHAIRPERSON: He testified that he did have it constructed. He hired the contractor.

MR. ELDRIDGE: Number six, applicant is or is not seeking a variance from the application of

1 15.38.030.B [sic] so as to permit the
2 roof covering to extend into the
3 required yard setback area, seeking a
4 variance from that prohibition to allow
5 the roof that's already there to remain
6 there into the setback area?
7 THE CHAIRPERSON: He -- He is
8 seeking it -- in his testimony, that he
9 was seeking it.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 four things. Number seven, an
17 unnecessary hardship would or would not
18 result from the application of
19 15.38.020.B to the property?
20
21 extension, horizontally or vertically,
22 into the required yard setback area is
23 -- is prohibited.
So would an unnecessary
25 hardship result from applying that

1 provision to this property -- to that
2 roof?

3

4

5

10 but --
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 to the code.
18
19

21 hardship.

23 with the -- the land, it went with the comfort of him --

MR. NALEAU: Would not.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe it would not.

MR. BASS: I -- I didn't hear anything that stated, other than it would create anything other than -- it would be, you know, keep the rain off,

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.
MR. BASS: -- the property had been this way forever and there are other methods of doing that that could be explored that would -- that would be within the realm of the -- of compliant

THE CHAIRPERSON: And the
hardship --
MR. BASS: There was no

THE CHAIRPERSON: -- did not go

MR. BASS: Right.

| 1 | THE CHAIRPERSON: -- because | Page 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that was in -- |  |
| 3 | MR. ELDRIDGE: So do we -- |  |
| 4 | THE CHAIRPERSON: -- his |  |
| 5 | testimony. |  |
| 6 | MR. ELDRIDGE: -- do we have a |  |
| 7 | consensus? |  |
| 8 | THE CHAIRPERSON: I would say |  |
| 9 | no hardship. |  |
| 10 | MR. BASS: Yes. |  |
| 11 | MR. ELDRIDGE: Would not |  |
| 12 | result -- |  |
| 13 | MR. BASS: Would not result. |  |
| 14 | THE CHAIRPERSON: It would not. |  |
| 15 | MR. ELDRIDGE: And this would |  |
| 16 | be from the applicant's testimony? |  |
| 17 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. |  |
| 18 | MR. BASS: Yeah, I mean, I |  |
| 19 | asked if there was other methods that -- |  |
| 20 | that would serve the same purpose that |  |
| 21 | would be compliant, and I believe the |  |
| 22 | answer was he was exploring those. |  |
| 23 | MR. ELDRIDGE: Turning the page |  |
| 24 | to number eight. And it may be a moot |  |
| 25 | point. If an unnecessary hardship |  |

1 results from the strict application of
2 that regulation, that hardship did or
3 did not result from conditions peculiar 4 to the property, such as location, size,

5 or topography?

10 was no hardship. So we'll mark that
11 N/A.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
Number nine, if an unnecessary
hardship resulted from the strict application of that regulation, that hardship did or did not result from actions taken by the applicant?

Again, that's not applicable, but if it was, how would you answer that?

THE CHAIRPERSON: A hardship did not result from the actions taken by the applicant though.

MR. ELDRIDGE: And what actions did the applicant take?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, he had not consistent with the spirit, purpose,

11 and intent to that regulation. You'll extends into the required setback area to remain there.

Would that requested variance 20 be or not be consistent with the spirit, see I've got a typo there, and that should be 15.38.020.B, the regulation we've been dealing with throughout.

So he wants -- the applicant wants a variance to allow the roof that

```
    not --
```

MR. BASS: -- not.

2 consistent with it because it says you
3 can't extend it horizontally or
4 vertically into a nonconforming --

5

6

8
9
10 Kure Beach, and unfortunately it can't
11 be -- can't be done.
12
13
14 conclusions and determine how they
15 should go.
16
As the owner of the property,
17 the applicant has or does not have standing to apply for the variance?

THE CHAIRPERSON: He has
20 standing. He does.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Number two, the
22 Board shall grant the variance -- shall
23 grant the variance upon a showing by the
24 applicant that: One, an unnecessary
25 hardship would result from the strict

1 application of that regulation to the 2 property; two, that hardship results 3 from conditions which are peculiar to 4 the property; three, the hardship did 5 not result from actions taken by the 6 applicant; and, four, the requested 7 variance is consistent with the spirit, 8 purpose, and intent to that regulation.

10 need is that those -- those are the
11 elements that are required to be shown
12 in order to get a variance, and I don't
13 imagine there's any dispute about that.
14 That was in the order of business and
15 also in the town code about -- regarding
16 getting a variance. Agreed?
MR. BASS: Agreed.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Agreed.
MR. BARTLEY: Agreed.
MR. ELDRIDGE: Number three,
21 the applicant has or has not shown that
22 each of these four requirements have
23 been met. The applicant has shown or
24 has not shown?

1 shown.

3 did he -- for the record, let's identify
4 which of those four elements -- and you
5 can look back on your findings
6 worksheet. We start asking about them
7 on number seven. So it'd be seven,
8 eight, nine, and ten.

9

10 which of those elements that he did --
11 that the applicant did not show.
12
13
14
15 that results from the application of the
16 regulation as it's written and also
17 based on -- we heard from the code
18 enforcement officer, I would say, also
19 that I don't believe that even if there
20 was a hardship due to the weather in
21 entering the house, I don't believe
22 those conditions are peculiar to this

24 the second element has been demonstrated 25 out.

1

11 address number four. It was: Did the
12 applicant show the Board that the --
13 what he's requesting is consistent with
14 that regulation?
15
16
17 you need to vote to grant the variance.
18 And if you have any more questions for
19 either of the parties, the code --
20 Ms. White or the applicant, now is the
21 time to go ahead and ask them and wrap
22 that part up.

24 would be to close the hearing and then
25 to deliberate, you know, a concession,

1 and vote either to grant or deny the 2 variance.

5 variance.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 At this time, I'm going to close the
19 hearing, and we will go into our
20 decision-making process and decide
21 whether or not to grant this variance or 22 not.

23
24 that -- that I see here and the
25 questions that we -- we answered, the

1 hardship goes with the land. It doesn't
2 go with the individual. He testified
3 that the elements are what he wants to
4 move for and that, unfortunately, it
5 does not go with the land.
6
7 for you members that are -- are new to
8 the Board, that if there was like a huge 9 rock or something that was sitting there 10 and they had to go around it, then, you

11 know, that would be a hardship. It goes
12 with the land and a river runs through
13 it or you needed to move something, that
14 would be part of -- this was a structure
15 that was nonconforming probably after it
16 was built. Who knows how long ago it
17 was built. I know cottages that have
18 been built in the ' 40 s that are
19 nonconforming, and you can't -- now that
20 the ordinance is the way it is, you
21 can't add to them, you can't -- you
22 can't do anything with them.
The council obviously didn't
believe that it was necessary to give this man a text amendment. I just -- I

1 just feel that he hasn't met his burden
2 of showing that there was a hardship
3 that goes with the land and not with him
4 personally. So that -- that's -- that's 5 my thoughts.

21 sorry.

Anybody have anything that they want to add or --

MR. BASS: I -- I pretty much
concur with what you said.
MR. BARTLEY: I do, too.
MR. NALEAU: Nothing other
than, thank you, Mr. McGeough, for bringing it to our attention and letting us consider it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So do we take a vote now?

MR. ELDRIDGE: You can
entertain a motion to either grant or deny the variance.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I'm

I'd like to have a motion to
either grant or deny the variance on this issue.

MR. NALEAU: I'll make a motion

1 to deny the variance.

21 adjourn.
opposed? it.

MR. BASS: I second it.
THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor to deny the variance.
(MULTIPLE AYES.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyone
(NO RESPONSE.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So be

MR. ELDRIDGE: So I -- I'll --
it'll take me a little bit of time, but I'll produce a written decision and -and arrange to have that signed by the Chairman and filed with the town clerk and delivered to the applicant.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we return to open session, and then -- do we have any motion to adjourn?

MR. BASS: I make a motion to

MR. NALEAU: I second that.
THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor. (MULTIPLE AYES.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.
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